

## Document Log

From		To	
Shane Bowe <SBowe@redlakenation.org>		Daniel Cozza/R5/USEPA/US@EPA	
CC		BCC	
Barbara Wester/R5/USEPA/US@EPA David Horak/R5/USEPA/US@EPA			
Subject		Date/Time	
RE: Bad River Waters		09/23/2011 10:42 AM	

## Document Body

Hmmm...It helps but it sure seemed like we were asked for something more extensive. I'm looking at a few options for our list. Perhaps Barbara would like to comment on the appropriateness of any of them?

1. Very extensive list with GPS coordinates to some portion of each water body (center of lakes, reaches of streams)
2. Very broad list using watersheds to identify general locations of Tribal waters accompanying the narrative.
3. A shapefile of all waters falling within or bordering trust lands to be included.
4. A shapefile of current trust lands accompanying the narrative.
5. Some combination of the above.

I believe our preference would be to use option 2.

Shane

-----Original Message-----

From: Cozza.Daniel@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Cozza.Daniel@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2011 10:26 AM  
To: Shane Bowe  
Cc: Wester.Barbara@epamail.epa.gov; Horak.David@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: Bad River Waters

Shane,

This is all that I found in the Bad River application: in the application, Section G stated narratively the waters to be covered and referred to the attachments including the Maps, and List. The list is not all that detailed, just a list with importance of each water body to the tribe. At the bottom of the list, they put a catch-all phrase stating that all unnamed bodies also covered. I have a call into Barbara Wester in ORC to see what her thoughts are, but for Bad River, that was it. For Lac du Flambeau's application, I found even less. Just their maps, but they may have also included their draft wq standards which would have named the water bodies.

Let me know if this helps or not.

Dan  
312-886-7252

(See attached file: Scan001.PDF)